



## CERTIFICATION REPORT

**The certification of the absorbed energy (120 J nominal) of  
Charpy V-notch reference test pieces for tests at 20 °C:  
ERM®-FA016bh**

European Commission  
Joint Research Centre  
Directorate F – Health, Consumers and Reference Materials

Contact information  
Reference materials sales  
Address: Retieseweg 111, 2440 Geel, Belgium  
E-mail: [jrc-rm-distribution@ec.europa.eu](mailto:jrc-rm-distribution@ec.europa.eu)  
Tel.: +32 (0)14 571 705

JRC Science Hub  
<https://ec.europa.eu/jrc>

#### Legal Notice

This publication is a Reference Materials Report by the Joint Research Centre, the European Commission's in-house science service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policy-making process. The scientific output expressed does not imply a policy position of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this publication.

All images © European Union 2017

JRC106627

EUR 28585 EN

ISBN 978-92-79-68716-7 (PDF)

ISSN 1831-9424 (online)

doi:10.2760/9071

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2017

© European Union, 2017

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

#### Abstract

This certification report describes the processing and characterisation of ERM®-FA016bh, a batch of Charpy V-notch reference test pieces certified for the absorbed energy (KV). Sets of five of these test pieces are used for the verification of pendulum impact test machines according to ISO 148-2 (Metallic materials - Charpy pendulum impact test – Part 2: Verification of testing machines). The absorbed energy (KV) is operationally defined and refers to the impact energy required to break a V-notched test piece of standardised dimensions, as defined in ISO 148-1. The certified value of ERM®-FA016bh is made traceable to the SI, via the SI-traceable certified value of the master batch ERM®-FA016ax, by testing samples of ERM®-FA016bh and ERM®-FA016ax under repeatability conditions on an impact pendulum verified and calibrated with SI-traceable tools. The certified value is valid only for strikers with a 2 mm tip radius and at (20 ± 2) °C.



## CERTIFICATION REPORT

**The certification of the absorbed energy (120 J nominal) of  
Charpy V-notch reference test pieces for tests at 20 °C:  
ERM®-FA016bh**

T. Gerganova, G. Roebben, A. Dean, T. Linsinger

European Commission, Joint Research Centre  
Directorate F – Health, Consumers and Reference Materials  
Geel, Belgium

## **Disclaimer**

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, and materials are identified in this paper to specify adequately the experimental procedure. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the European Commission, nor does it imply that the material or equipment is necessarily the best available for the purpose.

## Summary

This certification report describes the processing and characterisation of ERM<sup>®</sup>- , a batch of Charpy V-notch reference test pieces certified for the absorbed energy (*KV*). Sets of five of these test pieces are used for the verification of pendulum impact test machines according to ISO 148-2 (Metallic materials - Charpy pendulum impact test – Part 2: Verification of testing machines [1]).

The absorbed energy (*KV*) is operationally defined and refers to the impact energy required to break a V-notched test piece of standardised dimensions, as defined in ISO 148-1 [2]. The certified value of ERM<sup>®</sup>- is made traceable to the SI, via the SI-traceable certified value of the master batch ERM<sup>®</sup>- , by testing samples of ERM<sup>®</sup>- and ERM<sup>®</sup>- under repeatability conditions on an impact pendulum verified and calibrated with SI-traceable tools. The certified value is valid only for strikers with a 2 mm tip radius and at (20 ± 2) °C.

The certified value for *KV* (energy required to break a V-notched test piece using a pendulum impact test machine) and the associated expanded uncertainty ( $k=2$  corresponding to a confidence level of about 95 %) calculated for the mean of a set of five test pieces, is:

Steel Charpy V-notch test pieces		
	Certified value <sup>2)</sup> [J]	Uncertainty <sup>3)</sup> [J]
Absorbed energy ( <i>KV</i> ) <sup>1)</sup>		

1) The absorbed energy (*KV*) is an operationally defined measurand. *KV* is the impact energy required to break a V-notched test piece of standardised dimensions, as defined in ISO 148-1. The certified value is valid only for strikers with a 2 mm tip radius, and at temperatures of (20 ± 2) °C.

2) The certified value of ERM<sup>®</sup>- , and its uncertainty, are traceable to the International System of Units (SI), via the master batch ERM<sup>®</sup>- of a similar nominal absorbed energy by testing samples of ERM<sup>®</sup>- and ERM<sup>®</sup>- under repeatability conditions on an impact pendulum verified and calibrated with SI-traceable tools.

3) Estimated expanded uncertainty of the mean *KV* of the 5 specimens (delivered as 1 set), with a coverage factor  $k=2$ , corresponding to a level of confidence of about 95 %, as defined in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995). The number of degrees of freedom of the certified uncertainty is  $v_{RM} =$  .

## **Table of contents**

<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Table of contents.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1   Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2   Participants.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3   Processing.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4   Homogeneity.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5   Stability.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>6   Characterisation.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>7   Value assignment.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8   Metrological traceability .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>9   Commutability .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>10   Summary of results.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>11   Instructions for use.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Annex 1 .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Annex 2 .....</b>	<b>17</b>

## Glossary

AISI	American Iron and Steel Institute
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BCR	Community Bureau of Reference
CRM	Certified Reference Material
EC	European Commission
ERM <sup>®</sup>	European Reference Material
IMB	International Master Batch
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
JRC	Joint Research Centre
<i>k</i>	Coverage factor
<i>KV</i>	Absorbed energy = energy required to break a V-notched test piece of defined shape and dimensions when tested with a pendulum impact testing machine
<i>KV<sub>CRM</sub></i>	Certified <i>KV</i> value of a set of 5 reference test pieces from the Secondary Batch
<i>KV<sub>MB</sub></i>	Certified <i>KV</i> value of the Master Batch test pieces
LNE	Laboratoire national de métrologie et d'essais
MB	Master Batch
<i>n<sub>MB</sub></i>	Number of samples of the Master Batch tested during certification of the Secondary Batch
<i>n<sub>SB</sub></i>	Number of samples of the Secondary Batch tested for certification
<i>RSD</i>	Relative standard deviation
<i>s</i>	Standard deviation
SB	Secondary Batch
<i>s<sub>h</sub></i>	Standard deviation of the results of the samples tested to assess the homogeneity of the Secondary Batch
<i>s<sub>MB</sub></i>	Standard deviation of the <i>n<sub>MB</sub></i> results of the samples of the Master Batch tested for the certification of the Secondary Batch
<i>s<sub>SB</sub></i>	Standard deviation of the <i>n<sub>SB</sub></i> results of the samples tested for the characterisation of the Secondary Batch
<i>u<sub>CRM</sub></i>	Combined standard uncertainty of <i>KV<sub>CRM</sub></i>
<i>U<sub>CRM</sub></i>	Expanded uncertainty ( <i>k</i> = 2, confidence level of about 95 %) of <i>KV<sub>CRM</sub></i>
<i>u<sub>char</sub></i>	Standard uncertainty of the result of the characterisation tests
<i>u<sub>char,rel</sub></i>	Relative standard uncertainty of the result of the characterisation tests
<i>u<sub>h</sub></i>	Contribution to uncertainty from homogeneity
<i>u<sub>i</sub></i>	Value of uncertainty from contribution <i>i</i>
<i>u<sub>MB</sub></i>	Standard uncertainty of <i>KV<sub>MB</sub></i>
<i>u<sub>MB,rel</sub></i>	Relative standard uncertainty of <i>KV<sub>MB</sub></i>
$\bar{X}_{\text{MB}}$	Mean <i>KV</i> value of the <i>n<sub>MB</sub></i> measurements on samples of the Master Batch tested when characterising the Secondary Batch
$\bar{X}_{\text{SB}}$	Mean <i>KV</i> value of the <i>n<sub>SB</sub></i> results of the samples tested for the characterisation of the Secondary Batch
$\Delta h$	difference between the height of the centre of gravity of the pendulum prior to release and at the end of the half-swing during which the test sample is broken
<i>v<sub>RM</sub></i>	Effective number of degrees of freedom associated with the uncertainty of the certified value
<i>v<sub>t</sub></i>	Degrees of freedom

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 The Charpy pendulum impact test

The Charpy pendulum impact test is designed to assess the resistance of a material to shock loading. The test, which consists of breaking a notched bar of the test material using a hammer rotating around a fixed horizontal axis, is schematically presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Schematic presentation of the Charpy pendulum impact test, showing a: the horizontal rotation axis of the pendulum, b: the stiff shaft on to which is fixed, d: the hammer. The hammer is released from a well-defined height (position 1). When the hammer has reached maximum kinetic energy (shaft in vertical position 2), the hammer strikes c: the test sample, which is positioned on a support and against the pendulum anvils (not shown). The height reached by the hammer after having broken the sample (position 3) is recorded. The difference in height between position 1 and 3 ( $\Delta h$ ) corresponds with the difference in potential energy, and is a measure of the energy required to break the test sample.

The energy absorbed by the test sample is very dependent on the impact pendulum construction and its dynamic behaviour. Methods to verify the performance of an impact pendulum require the use of reference test pieces as described in ISO and other international standards [1, 3]. The reference test pieces dealt with in this report comply with a V-notched test piece of well-defined geometry [1], schematically shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Schematic drawing of a V-notched Charpy test piece (top-view), indicating the place and direction of impact.

## 1.2 The certification concept of Master Batch and Secondary Batch

### 1.2.1 Master and Secondary Batches

The BCR reports by Marchandise et al. [4] and Varma [5] provide details of the certification of BCR “Master Batches” (MB) of Charpy V-notch certified reference test pieces. The certified value of a Master Batch is obtained using an international inter-laboratory comparison.

This report describes the production of a “Secondary Batch” (SB) of Charpy V-notch certified reference test pieces at the JRC Geel of the European Commission’s (EC) Joint Research Centre (JRC). The work was performed in accordance with procedures described in the BCR reports [4] and [5]. The certification of a SB is based on the comparison of a set of SB test pieces with a set of test pieces from the corresponding MB under repeatability conditions on a single pendulum.

The BCR reports [4] and [5] were published in 1991 and 1999, respectively. Since 2000, the calculation of the certified value and the estimation of its uncertainty have been updated to an approach compliant with the ISO/IEC Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement [6]. This revised approach was developed and presented by Ingelbrecht et al. [7, 8], and is summarised below.

### 1.2.2 Certification of a Secondary Batch of Charpy V-notch test pieces

The certified absorbed energy of a SB of Charpy V-notch reference test pieces ( $KV_{CRM}$ ) is calculated from the mean  $KV$ -value of a set of test pieces from the SB ( $\bar{X}_{SB}$ ) tested on a single pendulum. This value  $\bar{X}_{SB}$  has to be corrected for the bias of this particular pendulum. The bias of the pendulum at the moment of testing the samples of the SB, is estimated by comparing the mean  $KV$ -value of a number of samples of the MB ( $\bar{X}_{MB}$ ), tested together with the SB samples under repeatability conditions. The certified value of the MB is used then to calculate  $KV_{CRM}$  as follows [8]:

$$KV_{CRM} = \left[ \frac{KV_{MB}}{\bar{X}_{MB}} \cdot \bar{X}_{SB} \right] \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

For this approach to be reliable, the pendulum used for the tests on MB and SB in repeatability conditions must be well performing. In other words, the ratio  $\frac{KV_{MB}}{\bar{X}_{MB}}$  must be close to 1. JRC Geel allows a difference of 5 % ( $KV_{MB} \geq 40$  J) or 2 J ( $KV_{MB} < 40$  J) between  $KV_{MB}$  and  $\bar{X}_{MB}$ , corresponding to the level of bias allowed for reference pendulums specified in ISO 148-3 [9].

Also, the interaction of the pendulum with a SB sample must be similar to the interaction with a MB sample. This is the reason why MB and SB samples are made from nominally the same steel. Moreover, it is checked that the ratio  $\frac{KV_{CRM}}{KV_{MB}}$  is close to 1. JRC Geel allows a difference of 25 % ( $KV_{MB} \geq 40$  J) or 10 J ( $KV_{MB} < 40$  J) between  $KV_{CRM}$  and  $KV_{MB}$ .

### 1.2.3 Uncertainty of the certified value of a Secondary Batch of Charpy V-notch test pieces

The uncertainty of the certified value of the SB is a combination of the uncertainties of the factors in Eq. 1. The MB-SB approach necessarily results in a larger uncertainty of the certified value of SB in comparison with the MB. The additional uncertainty contribution depends on the uncertainty of the ratio  $\bar{X}_{\text{MB}} / \bar{X}_{\text{SB}}$ . The measurement uncertainty of the values  $\bar{X}_{\text{MB}}$  and  $\bar{X}_{\text{SB}}$  is relatively large. However, when all conditions mentioned above (repeatability conditions, pendulum performance, and commutability between Secondary and Master Batch) are fulfilled, then the uncertainties of the values  $\bar{X}_{\text{MB}}$  and  $\bar{X}_{\text{SB}}$  have several contributions in common, in particular the uncertainty due to the bias of the pendulum. These shared uncertainty components do not contribute to the uncertainty of the ratio  $\bar{X}_{\text{MB}} / \bar{X}_{\text{SB}}$ , and only the standard deviations of the SB and MB results in the MB-SB comparison test need to be taken into account (see also Section 6.3). Thus, the MB-SB comparison approach can produce a value for the uncertainty of  $KV_{\text{CRM}}$  that is sufficiently small to meet the requirements of the intended use of the certified reference material (CRM).

## 2 Participants

The processing of the SB (ERM<sup>®</sup>- ) test pieces was carried out by the Laboratoire national de métrologie et d'essais (LNE), using AISI4340/1.6565 steel delivered by Tata Steel International Germany GmbH. The MB samples (ERM<sup>®</sup>- ) used in the characterisation of the SB were provided by JRC Geel (BE). The homogeneity of the SB was evaluated based on data obtained at LNE using a pendulum verified according to the criteria imposed by ISO 148-2 and ISO/IEC 17025 [1]. The characterisation of the SB was carried out at JRC Geel also using a pendulum verified according to ISO 148-2 [1]. The tests performed were within the scope of an ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation (BELAC 268-Test).

Data evaluation was performed at JRC Geel. The certification was performed within the scope of an ISO Guide 34 accreditation (BELAC 268-RM).

## 3 Processing

The ERM<sup>®</sup>- test pieces were prepared from bars of AISI4340/1.6565 steel delivered by Tata Steel International Germany GmbH. Production of the test pieces from these bars was performed under the supervision of LNE at FLEURY GF, France as described in [10].

### 3.1 Processing of hot-rolled bars

The base material consisted of AISI 4340 steel. To limit the amount of impurities potentially affecting the homogeneity of the fracture resistance, the following compositional tolerances specified in Table 1 were imposed on the selected steel batch. These tolerances are stricter than generally allowed for AISI 4340 steel.

Table 1: Adapted composition tolerances of AISI 4340

Composition (mass fraction) [g/kg]						
C	S	P	Si	Mn	Cr	Ni
1.1 – 1.3	< 0.03	<0.18	1.5 – 3	7.5 – 9	112.5 – 116.5	25.5 – 27.5
Mo	Cu	Al	V	W	N	
15.5 – 17	< 2	< 0.1	2.5 – 3	< 1	0.25 - 0.4	

The ingot was hot rolled, resulting in bars that were 6 m long and with a squared cross-section of 12 mm x 12 mm. For the ERM<sup>®</sup>-FA016bh batch, steel was used from ingot number HS360303.

### **3.2 Machining of Charpy test pieces**

The broad bars were cut into 65 discs each. All 14 discs from one bar 5. The bars were cut into Charpy specimens and machined to the dimensional requirements of ISO 148-3 [9] and engraved to ensure identification.

### **3.3 Heat treatment of hot-rolled bars**

The heat treatment of the 1595 specimens was performed at VTN Witten GmbH (DE) in a vacuum-furnace, according to the following procedure:

Step 1: austenisation treatment at 850 °C for 30 minutes in a furnace of 'class 10 °C' (the variation of the temperature in the furnace is smaller than 10°C)

Step 2: cool down in oil

Step 3: annealing treatment at 635 °C for 120 minutes in a furnace of 'class 5 °C' (the variation of the temperature in the furnace is smaller than 5 °C)

Step 4: quenching in air

### **3.4 Final machining of Charpy test pieces**

After heat treatment, the specimens were machined to the final dimensions specified in ISO 148-3 [9] by Fleury. During this process the specimen numbers were engraved on both sides of the notch on the long face of the sample. Finally the specimens were notched at LNE using an electrical-erosion tool.

### **3.5 Quality control**

When all samples from the batch were fully machined, a selection of samples was made. The dimensions of the samples were checked against the criteria specified in ISO 148-3 [9] (length  $55.0^{+0.00}_{-0.30}$  mm, height  $(10.00 \pm 0.06)$  mm, width  $(10.00 \pm 0.07)$  mm, notch angle  $(45 \pm 1)^\circ$ , height remaining at notch root  $(8.00 \pm 0.06)$  mm, radius at notch root  $(0.250 \pm 0.025)$  mm, distance between the plane of symmetry of the notch and the longitudinal axis of the test piece  $(27.5 \pm 0.2)$  mm). None of the samples was outside the intervals specified in ISO 148-3 [9].

The samples selected throughout the batch and checked for geometrical compliance were also impact tested using a pendulum type Zwick PSW 750 (nominal energy 450 J), verified according to ISO 148-2 [1]. The tests were performed on . The results are reported in the LNE production report of [10]. The average KV of the 25 samples was J, which is within the desired energy interval (112.5 – 127.5 J). The standard deviation of the test results ( $s =$  J,  $RSD =$  %) was below the % maximum allowed by the contract. The variation was checked again during the characterisation tests at JRC Geel (see Section 6).

### **3.6 Packaging and storage**

Finally, the samples were cleaned and packed as sets of 5 randomised samples, in oil-filled and vacuum sealed plastic bags. These oil-filled bags, were packed in a second sealed plastic bag, and shipped to JRC Geel. After arrival ( ) the samples (or sets) of ERM<sup>®</sup>- were registered and stored at room temperature.

## 4 Homogeneity

The test pieces are sampled from the SBs and analysed to estimate the homogeneity uncertainty contribution  $u_h$  to the uncertainty of the certified value.  $u_h$  is related to  $s_h$ , the standard deviation between the samples in the SB (*sample-to-sample heterogeneity*), but also depends on the number of samples over which the KV-value is averaged. ISO 148-2 [1] specifies that the pendulum verification must be performed using 5 test pieces, which is why a CRM-unit consists of a set of 5 test pieces. The appropriate uncertainty contribution must be an estimate of the *set-to-set heterogeneity*, which in the case of a set of 5 test pieces can be calculated as

$$u_h = \frac{s_h}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Here,  $u_h$  is estimated from  $s_h$ , the standard deviation of results obtained at on

$$(s_h = \text{--- J}). \text{ This leads to } u_h = \frac{s_h}{\sqrt{5}} = \text{--- J or (--- \%)}.$$

As is required for a homogeneity test, the samples were randomly selected from the whole batch. The number of samples tested (---) is sufficiently large to reflect the homogeneity of the full SB (--- samples). It can be noted that  $u_h$  is probably a slight overestimation, since it contains also the repeatability of the instrument. However, the latter cannot be separated or separately measured.

## 5 Stability

The stability of the absorbed energy of Charpy V-notch certified reference test pieces was first systematically investigated for samples of nominally 120 J by Pauwels et al. [11]. Additional evidence for the stability of the reference test pieces produced from AISI 4340 steel of lower energy levels (nominally 15 J, 30 J and 100 J) has been obtained during the International Master Batch (IMB) project [12]. In the IMB-project, the stability of the certified test pieces was judged from the change of the mean of means of the absorbed energy obtained on 7 reference pendulums over a three year period. None of the three regression slopes for the tested energy levels was statistically significant at the 5 % probability level. Given the large sample-to-sample heterogeneity and the limited number of samples (5) in a CRM unit, the uncertainty contribution from instability is considered to be insignificant in comparison to that of homogeneity. A dedicated isochronous study (test temperature 18 °C, reference temperature -20 °C) on batches of 30, 80 and 120 J from the same steel showed, as expected, no change of the measured values. Uncertainty of stabilities for 120 months were calculated and varied between 0.7 - 2.8 J (1.8 % to 2.4 %). These uncertainties are entirely driven by the measurement precision and it was concluded that no uncertainty contribution for potential change was needed [14].

The main reason for the microstructural stability of the certified reference test pieces is the annealing treatment to which the samples were subjected after the austenisation treatment. Annealing is performed at temperatures where the equilibrium phases are the same as the (meta-)stable phases at ambient temperature ( $\alpha$ -Fe and  $Fe_3C$ ). The only driving force for instability stems from the difference in solubility of interstitial elements in the  $\alpha$ -Fe matrix, between annealing and ambient temperature. Relaxation of residual (micro-)stress by short-range diffusion or the additional formation or growth of precipitates during the shelf-life of the certified reference test pieces is expected, but proceed slowly.

Rather than neglecting the stability issue, it is decided to specify a limited shelf-life. A period of 10 years is chosen, counting from the date of the characterisation tests on the SB. Since batch ERM<sup>®</sup>- was characterised in June 2015, the validity of the certificate reaches until June 10.

## 6 Characterisation

### 6.1 Characterisation tests

samples from ERM<sup>®</sup>- (sets 1, 20, 89, 133, 247, 304) were tested under repeatability conditions together with samples from MB ERM<sup>®</sup>- (sets 10, 115, 144, 157, 225), using the JRC Geel Instron Wolpert PW-30 machine (UK). The pendulum is annually verified according to procedures described in ISO 148-2 [1]. Tests were performed on of June (laboratory temperature  $20 \pm 1$  °C), in accordance with ISO 148-1 [2]. The measurement sequence was: SB-MB-SB-MB-SB-MB-SB-MB-SB-MB-SB. The measured absorbed energy values were corrected for friction and windage losses.

The accepted data obtained on individual test pieces are shown in Figure 3 and Annex 1. The results of the measurements are summarised in Table 2.




Figure 3: Absorbed energy values of 0 test pieces of ERM<sup>®</sup>- , compared with 0 test pieces of ERM<sup>®</sup>- ; data are displayed in the actual test sequence

Table 2: Characterisation measurements of Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>-

	Number of test pieces $n_{MB}, n_{SB}$	Mean value $\bar{X}_{MB}, \bar{X}_{SB}$ [J]	Standard deviation $s_{MB}, s_{SB}$ [J]	Relative standard deviation $RSD_{SB}, RSD_{MB}$ [%]
ERM <sup>®</sup> - (MB)	0			2.51
ERM <sup>®</sup> - (SB)	0			2.54

The SB-results meet the ISO 148-3 acceptance criteria for a batch of reference materials ( $RSD_{SB} < 5\%$ ). Also the difference between  $\bar{X}_{MB}$  and  $\bar{X}_{SB}$ , the indicator used to assess the similarity of master batch and secondary batch behaviour, is smaller than the allowed 25 % (see Section 1.2.2).

## 6.2 Data from Master Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>-

To calculate  $KV_{CRM}$  for ERM<sup>®</sup>- one needs  $KV_{MB}$  of the MB used, i.e. ERM<sup>®</sup>- . Table 3 shows the main MB-data, taken from the Certificate of Analysis of ERM<sup>®</sup>- (Annex 2).

Table 3: Data from the certification of Master Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>-

	Certified absorbed energy of Master Batch $KV_{MB}$ [J]	Standard uncertainty of $KV_{MB}$ $u_{MB}$ [J]	Relative standard uncertainty of $KV_{MB}$ $u_{MB,rel}$ [%]
ERM <sup>®</sup> -			0.73

## 6.3 Calculation of $KV_{CRM}$ and of $u_{char}$

From the data in Tables 2 and 3, and using Eq. 1, one readily obtains that  $KV_{CRM} = J$  (rounded in accordance with the uncertainty; see Table 5). The uncertainty associated with the characterisation of the SB,  $u_{char}$ , is assessed as in Eq. 2 [8], which sums the relative uncertainties of the three factors in Eq. 1:

$$u_{char} = KV_{CRM} \sqrt{\frac{u_{MB}^2}{KV_{MB}^2} + \frac{s_{SB}^2}{n_{SB} \cdot \bar{X}_{SB}^2} + \frac{s_{MB}^2}{n_{MB} \cdot \bar{X}_{MB}^2}} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$\bar{X}_{SB}$  and  $\bar{X}_{MB}$  were obtained under repeatability conditions. Therefore, the uncertainty of the ratio  $\bar{X}_{SB}/\bar{X}_{MB}$  is not affected by the contributions from reproducibility and bias of the pendulum used to compare MB and SB. Table 4 summarises the input quantities of the  $u_{char}$  uncertainty budget, their respective statistical properties, and shows how they were combined. The effective number of degrees of freedom ( $v_{eff}$ ) for  $u_{char}$  is obtained using the Welch-Satterthwaite equation from the combined uncertainty ( $u_c$ ) and the individual uncertainty contributions ( $u_i$ ) and their respective degrees of freedom ( $v_i$ ) (Eq. 3) [6].

$$v_{eff} = \frac{u_c^4}{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{u_i^4}{v_i}}$$

Eq. 3

Table 4: Uncertainty budget for  $u_{char}$  for ERM®-

	source of uncertainty	measured value [J]	standard uncertainty [J]	probability distribution	relative uncertainty [%]	degrees of freedom	
$KV_{MB}$	Certification of MB			normal	0.73		
$\bar{x}_{SB}$	comparison of SB and MB in repeatability conditions			normal	0.47	-1	
$\bar{x}_{MB}$				normal	0.50	-1	
		<i>standard uncertainty, <math>u_{char,rel}</math> [%]</i>		1.01			
		<i>standard uncertainty, <math>u_{char}</math> [J]</i>		1.25			

## 7 Value assignment

### 7.1 Certified value, combined and expanded uncertainty

As shown in 6.3, the value of  $KV_{CRM} = \text{_____ J}$  was rounded in accordance with the uncertainty. The uncertainty of the certified value is obtained by combining the contributions from the characterisation study,  $u_{char}$ , and from the homogeneity assessment,  $u_h$ , as is summarised in the following uncertainty budget (Table 5).

The relevant number of degrees of freedom calculated using the Welch-Satterthwaite equation [6], is sufficiently large ( $v_{RM} = \text{_____}$ ) to justify the use of a coverage factor  $k = 2$  to expand the confidence level to about 95 %. The obtained expanded uncertainty provides justification for the SB-MB approach followed:  $U_{CRM}$  is sufficiently smaller ( $U_{CRM} = \text{_____ J}$ ) than the verification criterion of 10 % for industrial pendulums [1] or even 5 J for reference pendulums [9].

Table 5: Uncertainty budget of  $KV_{CRM}$  for ERM®-

	source of uncertainty	relative value $u_i$ [%]	degrees of freedom
$u_{char}$	characterisation of SB		
$u_h$	homogeneity of SB	1.03	-1
Combined standard uncertainty, $u_{CRM}$ [%]			
Combined standard uncertainty, $u_{CRM}$ [J]			
Expanded Uncertainty, $k = 2$ , $U_{CRM}$ [%]		2.88	
Expanded Uncertainty, $k = 2$ , $U_{CRM}$ [J]		3.56	

Because of inherent imprecision of uncertainty values, the value of the  $U_{CRM}$  is rounded to 4 J. Correspondingly, the value of  $KV_{CRM}$  is rounded to 124 J.

## 8 Metrological traceability

The certified property is defined by the Charpy pendulum impact test procedure described in ISO 148-1 [2].

The certified value of the MB ERM<sup>®</sup>- is traceable to the SI, since it was obtained using an interlaboratory comparison, involving a representative selection of qualified laboratories performing the tests in accordance with the standard procedures and using instruments verified and calibrated with SI-traceable calibration tools.

The certified value of ERM<sup>®</sup>- is made traceable to the SI-traceable certified value of the MB by testing SB and MB samples in repeatability conditions on an impact pendulum verified and calibrated with SI-traceably calibrated tools. Therefore, the certified value of ERM<sup>®</sup>- is traceable to the International System of Units (SI) via the corresponding Master Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>- of a similar nominal absorbed energy. Absorbed energy KV is a operationally-specific value, and can only be obtained by following the procedures specified in ISO 148-1 [2].

## 9 Commutability

The intended use of the certified reference test pieces is the verification of Charpy impact pendulums. During the certification of the MB, different pendulums were used, each equipped with an ISO-type striker of 2 mm tip radius. Until further notice, the certified values are not to be used when the test pieces are broken with a striker of 8 mm tip radius [10].

## 10 Summary of results

The certified value and associated uncertainty are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Certified value and associated uncertainty for ERM<sup>®</sup>- .

Steel Charpy V-notch test pieces		
	Certified value <sup>2)</sup> [J]	Uncertainty <sup>3)</sup> [J]
Absorbed energy (KV) <sup>1)</sup>	124	4

1) The absorbed energy (KV) is an operationally defined measurand. KV is the impact energy required to break a V-notched bar of standardised dimensions, as defined in ISO 148-1. The certified value is valid only for strikers with a 2 mm tip radius, and at temperatures of (20 ± 2) °C.

2) The certified value of ERM<sup>®</sup>- , and its uncertainty, are traceable to the International System of Units (SI), via the master batch ERM<sup>®</sup>- of similar nominal absorbed energy by testing samples of ERM<sup>®</sup>- and ERM<sup>®</sup>- under repeatability conditions on an impact pendulum verified and calibrated with SI-traceable tools.

3) Estimated expanded uncertainty of the mean KV of the 5 specimens (delivered as 1 set), with a coverage factor  $k=2$ , corresponding to a level of confidence of about 95 %, as defined in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995). The number of degrees of freedom of the certified uncertainty is  $v_{RM} =$ .

## **11 Instructions for use**

### **11.1 Intended use**

Samples of ERM®- correspond to the ‘certified reference test pieces’ as defined in ISO 148-3 [9]. Sets of five of these certified reference test pieces are intended for the indirect verification of impact testing machines with a striker of 2 mm tip radius according to procedures described in detail in ISO 148-2 [1].

The indirect verification provides an assessment of the bias of the user’s Charpy pendulum impact machine. This bias assessment can be used in the calculation of the measurement uncertainty of Charpy tests on the pendulum after indirect verification. Such uncertainty calculation requires the certified value, the associated uncertainty, and in some cases also the degrees of freedom of the uncertainty, all given on page 1 of the certificate.

### **11.2 Sample preparation**

Special attention is drawn to the cleaning of the specimens prior to the tests. It is mandatory to remove the oil from the sample surface prior to testing, without damaging the edges of the sample. Between the moment of removing the protective oil layer and the actual test, corrosion can occur. This must be avoided by limiting this period of time, while keeping the sample clean.

The following procedure is considered a good practice.

1. First use absorbent cleaning-tissue to remove the excess oil. Pay particular attention to the notch of the sample, but do not use hard (e.g. steel) brushes to remove the oil from the notch.
2. Before testing, bring the specimens to the test temperature ( $20 \pm 2$ ) °C. To assure that thermal equilibrium is reached, move the specimens to the test laboratory at least 3 h before the tests.

An optional cleaning step with organic solvents may be inserted between 1 and 2. Any residual solvents shall be removed by wiping with an absorbent tissue before proceeding to step 2.

### **11.3 Pendulum impact tests**

After cleaning, the 5 samples constituting a CRM-unit need to be broken with a pendulum impact test machine in accordance with ISO 148-2 [1]. Prior to the tests, the anvils must be cleaned. It must be noted that Charpy test pieces sometimes leave debris on the Charpy pendulum anvils. Therefore, the anvils must be checked regularly and if debris is found, it must be removed. The uncertainty of the certified value applies to the mean of the 5 KV-values.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to thank to B. Dimitrievska, A. Held, R. Koeber and D. Florian (all from JRC Geel) for reviewing of the certification report.

## References

1. ISO 148-2: *Metallic materials - Charpy pendulum impact test - Part 2: Verification of testing machines*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (CH), 2008
2. ISO 148-1: *Metallic materials - Charpy pendulum impact test - Part 1: Test method*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (CH), 2009
3. ASTM E23 - 07ae1 *Standard Test Methods for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials*, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA (USA), 2007
4. Marchandise H., Perez-Sainz A., Colinet E., *Certification of the impact toughness of V-notch Charpy specimens*, in *BCR information series*, Community Bureau of Reference - BCR, Brussels (BE), 1991
5. Varma R.K., *The certification of two new master batches of V-notch Charpy impact toughness specimens in accordance with EN 10045-2: 1992*, CRM's 015 and 415, EUR Report 18947 EN - European Communities, Luxembourg - 1999 - ISBN 92-828-2244-3
6. ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (CH), 2008
7. Ingelbrecht, C. and Pauwels J., *EC Reference Materials for Impact Toughness - Traceability and uncertainty*. Presentation at Eurachem Eurolab symposium on Reference Materials for Technologies in the New Millennium, Berlin, May 22-23, 2000.
8. Ingelbrecht, C., Pauwels, J., and Gypaz, D., *Charpy specimens from BCR for machine verification according to EN 10045-2*. Poster presentation at Charpy Centenary Conference, October 2-5, Poitiers (FR), 2001
9. ISO 148-3: *Metallic materials - Charpy pendulum impact test - Part 3: Preparation and characterization of Charpy V-notch test pieces for indirect verification of pendulum impact machines*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (CH), 2008
10. Characterisation report: Charpy V-notch reference test pieces ERM-FA016bh, Laboratoire national de métrologie et d'essais (FR), December 2013
11. Pauwels, J., Gypaz, D., Varma, R., Ingelbrecht, C., *European certification of Charpy specimens: reasoning and observations*, in *Pendulum Impact testing: A Century of Progress*. Seattle, Washington: American Society for Testing and Materials, 1999
12. McCowan, C.N., Roebben, G., Yamaguchi, Y., Lefrançois, S., Splett, J. D., Takagi, S., Lamberty, A., *International Comparison of Impact Reference Materials (2004)*, J. ASTM International, Vol. 3(2), 2004
13. Schmieder, A. K., Purtscher P. T., Vigliotti, D. P., The role of strike marks on the reproducibility of Charpy impact test results, in *Pendulum impact machines: procedures and specimens for verification*, ASTM STP 1248, ed. Siewert, T. A. and Schmieder A. K., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia (USA), 1995.
14. Lamberty, A., Roebben, G., Dean, A., Linsinger T, *Study of the stability of Charpy V-notch reference test pieces for tests at 20 °C (ERM®-FA013ba, ERM®-FA015v and ERM®-FA016ax) during long-term storage at 18°C*, EUR 26348 EN, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2015

## Annex 1

Results of characterisation measurements of ERM<sup>®</sup>- as measured according to ISO 148-1 at JRC Geel, 16, 22 and 24 of June .

	<b>Master Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>-</b>	<b>Secondary Batch ERM<sup>®</sup>-</b>
	<b>KV(J)</b>	<b>KV(J)</b>
1	120.92	123.22
2	129.66	123.22
3	125.77	122.64
4	123.83	126.79
5	131.42	125.24
6	125.38	125.38
7	128.49	130.83
8	131.81	129.27
9	129.86	131.03
10	127.32	121.50
11	128.49	126.74
12	130.25	129.86
13	120.34	119.56
14	132.98	119.76
15	129.27	119.95
16	125.65	125.57
17	130.90	125.77
18	130.12	122.47
19	125.06	127.91
20	125.84	124.99
21	127.40	123.51
22	125.06	128.56
23	130.32	124.65
24	128.17	124.46
25	127.98	121.96
26		122.93
27		122.35
28		126.42
29		122.73
30		
<b>Mean (J)</b>		
<b>Standard deviation (J)</b>		
<b>RSD (%)</b>	2.51	2.54

## Annex 2

# CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## ERM<sup>®</sup>- FA016ax

STEEL		
	Impact toughness	
	Certified value <sup>2)</sup> [J]	Uncertainty <sup>3)</sup> [J]
Absorbed energy (KV) <sup>1)</sup>	126.82	0.93

1) The absorbed energy (KV) is procedurally defined and refers to the impact energy required to break a V-notched bar of standardised dimensions, as defined in EN 10045-1 and ISO 148-1.

2) The certified value is estimated as the mean of means of absorbed energies measured at 14 laboratories. At each laboratory, 20 test pieces were broken. The instruments used by these laboratories are regularly verified with equipment that is calibrated in a manner that is traceable to the International System of Units (SI). Therefore, the certified value is traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

3) Standard uncertainty  $u$  of the certified mean absorbed energy of batch ERM-FA016ax, estimated as the standard deviation of the mean of the 14 laboratory mean values, corresponding with a confidence level of about 68 %.

This certificate is valid until January 2018.

### NOTE

European Reference Material ERM<sup>®</sup>-FA016ax was produced and certified under the responsibility of the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre according to the principles laid down in the technical guidelines of the European Reference Materials<sup>®</sup> co-operation agreement between BAM-IRMM-LGC. Information on these guidelines is available on the internet (<http://www.erm-crm.org>).

Accepted as an ERM<sup>®</sup>, Geel, January 2009.

Signed:

Prof. Dr. Hendrik Emons  
European Commission  
Joint Research Centre  
Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements  
Retieseweg 111  
B-2440 Geel, Belgium



## **DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE**

A unit consists of five Charpy V-notch test pieces, which are rectangular steel bars of nominal dimensions 55 mm x 10 mm x 10 mm, with one V-notch, accurately machined to tolerances imposed in EN 10045-2 and ISO 148-3. The five specimens are packed together in a plastic bag filled with oil to prevent oxidation.

## **ANALYTICAL METHOD USED FOR CERTIFICATION**

Charpy pendulum impact tests in accordance with EN 10045-1 and ISO 148-1, using pendulum impact machines with a 2 mm striker tip radius.

## **PARTICIPANTS**

- Aubert&Duval, Les Ancizes and Gennevilliers (FR)
- Bodycote Materials Testing, Emmen (NL)\* (RvA testen L085)
- Bodycote Materials Testing, Spijkenisse (NL)\* (RvA testen L085)
- Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung (BAM), Abteilung V Werkstofftechnik, Berlin (DE)\* (DAP-PL-2614.16)
- Centro de Apoio Tecnologico a Industria Metalomechanica (CATIM), Laboratório de Ensaios, Porto (PT)\* (IPAC L009)
- Cogne Acciai Speciali, Aosta (IT)
- Fraunhofer Gesellschaft, Institut für Werkstoffmechanik, Freiburg (DE)
- European Commission Joint Research Centre (JRC), Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements, Geel (BE) (BELAC 268-Test)
- Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Strength Evaluation Group, Daejon, Korea
- Laboratoire National de Métrologie et d'Essais, Charpy Laboratory, Trappes (FR)\* (COFRAC SMH 2-1287)
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Materials Reliability Division, Boulder, USA
- SCK-CEN, Labo Reactormaterialenonderzoek, Mol (BE)\* (BELAC 015-Test)
- SIRRIS, Beproevelabslaboratorium Gent, Zwijnaarde (BE)\* (BELAC 232-Test)
- U.S. Steel Košice, Labortest, Košice (SK)\* (SNAS 026/S012)
- Universität Stuttgart, Materialprüfungsanstalt, Stuttgart (DE)\* (DAP-PL-2907.02)
- VTT, Espoo (FI)

\* Measurements within the scope of accreditation to ISO 17025.

## **SAFETY INFORMATION**

Precautions need to be taken to avoid injury of the operator by broken specimens when operating the Charpy impact pendulum.

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE**

Samples of ERM-FA016ax correspond with the '(certified) BCR test pieces' as referred to in EN 10045-2 (Method for the verification of impact testing machines), as well as with the 'certified reference test pieces' as defined in ISO 148-3 (Preparation and characterisation of Charpy V reference test pieces for verification of test machines).

The ERM-FA016ax batch is one of the 'Master Batches'. Master Batch test pieces are not for sale. They are intended solely to traceably certify Secondary Batches of the same nominal absorbed energy (here 30 J). The certified value and its associated uncertainty of the Master Batch are used in the calculation of the certified value and combined and expanded uncertainty of a set of 5 specimens from a Secondary Batch. Because the certified value of the Master Batch, and its uncertainty, are intermediate values, they have not been rounded according to normal rounding procedures. Instead one additional digit is preserved.

When characterising a secondary batch, a number of Master Batch test pieces are broken under repeatability conditions together with a selection of samples from the secondary batch. Special attention is

drawn to the cleaning and conditioning of the specimens prior to testing. It is mandatory to remove the oil from the sample surface prior to testing, without damaging the edges of the sample. Between the moment of removing the protective oil layer and the actual test, corrosion can occur. This must be avoided by limiting this period of time, while keeping the sample clean.

The following cleaning and conditioning procedure is considered to be good practice.

1. First use absorbent cleaning-tissue to remove the excess oil. Pay particular attention to the notch of the sample, but do not use hard (e.g. steel) brushes to remove the oil from the notch.
2. Submerge the samples in technically pure ethanol for about 5 minutes. Use of ultrasonication is encouraged, but only if the edges of the samples are prevented from rubbing against each other. To reduce the consumption of solvent, it is allowed to make a first cleaning step with detergent, immediately prior to the solvent step.
3. Once samples are removed from the solvent, only manipulate the samples wearing clean gloves. This is to prevent development of corrosion between the time of cleaning and the actual test.
4. Before testing, bring the specimens to the test temperature ( $20 \pm 2$  °C). To assure thermal equilibrium is reached, move the specimens to the test laboratory at least 3 h before the tests.

After cleaning and equilibration, the samples need to be broken with a pendulum impact test machine operated in accordance with EN 10045-1 or ISO 148-1 standards. Prior to the tests, the anvils must be cleaned. It must be noted that Charpy test pieces sometimes leave debris on the Charpy pendulum anvils. Therefore, the anvils must be checked regularly and if debris is found, it must be removed.

For some pendulums and for some samples, post-fracture interaction between broken samples and pendulum hammer can affect the measured KV values. The resulting excessively high values can be related to indentations and deformations of the broken samples. Outlier values that can be related to post-fracture indentation marks on the broken samples must be eliminated from the analysis of the results.

## **STORAGE**

Specimens should be kept at room temperature in their original packing until used. However, the European Commission cannot be held responsible for changes that happen during storage of the material at the customer's premises, especially of opened samples.

## **LEGAL NOTICE**

Neither the European Commission, its contractors nor any person acting on their behalf:

- (a) make any warranty or representation, express or implied, that the use of any information, material, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this document does not infringe any privately owned intellectual property rights; or
- (b) assume any liability with respect to, or for damages resulting from, the use of any information, material, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this document save for loss or damage arising solely and directly from the negligence of the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre.

## **NOTE**

A detailed technical report can be obtained from the Joint Research Centre, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements on request.

---

European Commission – Joint Research Centre  
Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM)  
Retieseweg 111, B - 2440 Geel (Belgium)  
Telephone: +32-(0)14-571.722 - Fax: +32-(0)14-590.406

European Commission

**EUR 28585 EN – Joint Research Centre – Directorate F – Health, Consumers and Reference Materials**

Title: **CERTIFICATION REPORT The certification of the absorbed energy (120 J nominal) of Charpy V-notch reference test pieces for tests at 20 °C: ERM®-FA016bh**

Author(s): T. Gerganova, G. Roebben, A. Dean, T. Linsinger

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union

2017 – 20 pp. – 21.0 x 29.7 cm

EUR – Scientific and Technical Research series – ISSN 1831-9424

ISBN 978-92-79-68716-7

doi: 10.2760/9071

As the Commission's in-house science service, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to provide EU policies with independent, evidence-based scientific and technical support throughout the whole policy cycle.

Working in close cooperation with policy Directorates-General, the JRC addresses key societal challenges while stimulating innovation through developing new methods, tools and standards, and sharing its know-how with the Member States, the scientific community and international partners.

Key policy areas include: environment and climate change; energy and transport; agriculture and food security; health and consumer protection; information society and digital agenda; safety and security, including nuclear; all supported through a cross-cutting and multi-disciplinary approach.

